

Large Scale Graph Processing

Amir H. Payberah payberah@kth.se 2023-09-29





The Course Web Page

https://id2221kth.github.io



The Questions-Answers Page

https://tinyurl.com/hk7hzpw5



Where Are We?





► A flexible abstraction for describing relationships between discrete objects.











Large Graph





Graph Algorithms Challenges

- Difficult to extract parallelism based on partitioning of the data.
- Difficult to express parallelism based on partitioning of computation.
- Graph partition is a challenging problem.



• Partition large scale graphs and distribut to hosts.





Edge-Cut Graph Partitioning

- Divide vertices of a graph into disjoint clusters.
- ► Nearly equal size (w.r.t. the number of vertices).
- ▶ With the minimum number of edges that span separated clusters.





Vertex-Cut Graph Partitioning

- Divide edges of a graph into disjoint clusters.
- ► Nearly equal size (w.r.t. the number of edges).
- With the minimum number of replicated vertices.





Edge-Cut vs. Vertex-Cut Graph Partitioning (1/2)

- ► Natural graphs: skewed Power-Law degree distribution.
- Edge-cut algorithms perform poorly on Power-Law Graphs.













PageRank with MapReduce





$$R[i] = \sum_{j \in Nbrs(i)} w_{ji}R[j]$$



PageRank Example (1/2)

•
$$R[i] = \sum_{j \in Nbrs(i)} w_{ji}R[j]$$

V1: [0.25, V2, V3, V4] V2: [0.25, V3, V4] V3: [0.25, V1] V4: [0.25, V1, V3]

Share the rank among all outgoing links

V1: (V2, 0.25/3), (V3, 0.25/3), (V4, 0.25/3) V2: (V3, 0.25/2), (V4, 0.25/2) V3: (V1, 0.25/1) V4: (V1, 0.25/2), (V3, 0.25/2)





PageRank Example (2/2)

•
$$R[i] = \sum_{j \in Nbrs(i)} w_{ji}R[j]$$



V1: (V2, 0.25/3), (V3, 0.25/3), (V4, 0.25/3) V2: (V3, 0.25/2), (V4, 0.25/2) V3: (V1, 0.25/1) V4: (V1, 0.25/2), (V3, 0.25/2)

Output after one iteration

V1: [0.37, V2, V3, V4] V2: [0.08, V3, V4] V3: [0.33, V1] V4: [0.20, V1, V3]



PageRank in MapReduce - Map (1/2)

Map function



map(key: [url, pagerank], value: outlink_list)
for each outlink in outlink_list:
 emit(key: outlink, value: pagerank / size(outlink_list))

emit(key: url, value: outlink_list)

Input (key, value)

((V1, 0.25), [V2, V3, V4]) ((V2, 0.25), [V3, V4]) ((V3, 0.25), [V1]) ((V4, 0.25), [V1, V3])



PageRank in MapReduce - Map (2/2)

Map function



```
map(key: [url, pagerank], value: outlink_list)
for each outlink in outlink_list:
    emit(key: outlink, value: pagerank / size(outlink_list))
```

```
emit(key: url, value: outlink_list)
```

Intermediate (key, value)

```
(V2, 0.25/3), (V3, 0.25/3), (V4, 0.25/3), (V3, 0.25/2), (V4, 0.25/2), (V1, 0.25/1),
(V1, 0.25/2), (V3, 0.25/2)
(V1, [V2, V3, V4])
(V2, [V3, V4])
(V3, [V1])
(V4, [V1, V3])
```



PageRank in MapReduce - Shuffle

Intermediate (key, value)

(V2, 0.25/3), (V3, 0.25/3), (V4, 0.25/3), (V3, 0.25/2), (V4, 0.25/2), (V1, 0.25/1), (V1, 0.25/2), (V3, 0.25/2) (V1, [V2, V3, V4]) (V2, [V3, V4]) (V3, [V1]) (V4, [V1, V3])

After shuffling

(V1, 0.25/1), (V1, 0.25/2), (V1, [V2, V3, V4]) (V2, 0.25/3), (V2, [V3, V4]) (V3, 0.25/3), (V3, 0.25/2), (V3, 0.25/2), (V3, [V1]) (V4, 0.25/3), (V4, 0.25/2), (V4, [V1, V3])



PageRank in MapReduce - Reduce (1/2)

Reduce function

```
reducer(key: url, value: list_pr_or_urls)
outlink_list = []
pagerank = 0
for each pr_or_urls in list_pr_or_urls:
    if is_list(pr_or_urls):
        outlink_list = pr_or_urls
    else
        pagerank += pr_or_urls
emit(key: [url, pagerank], value: outlink_list)
```

Input of the Reduce function

(V1, 0.25/1), (V1, 0.25/2), (V1, [V2, V3, V4]) (V2, 0.25/3), (V2, [V3, V4]) (V3, 0.25/3), (V3, 0.25/2), (V3, 0.25/2), (V3, [V1]) (V4, 0.25/3), (V4, 0.25/2), (V4, [V1, V3])



PageRank in MapReduce - Reduce (2/2)

Reduce function

```
reducer(key: url, value: list_pr_or_urls)
outlink_list = []
pagerank = 0
for each pr_or_urls in list_pr_or_urls:
    if is_list(pr_or_urls):
        outlink_list = pr_or_urls
    else
        pagerank += pr_or_urls
emit(key: [url, pagerank], value: outlink_list)
```

Output

((V1, 0.37), [V2, V3, V4]) ((V2, 0.08), [V3, V4]) ((V3, 0.33), [V1]) ((V4, 0.20), [V1, V3])



Problems with MapReduce for Graph Analytics

- ► MapReduce does not directly support iterative algorithms.
 - Invariant graph-topology-data re-loaded and re-processed at each iteration is wasting $\rm I/O,~network~bandwidth,~and~CPU$
- Materializations of intermediate results at every MapReduce iteration harm performance.



Think Like a Vertex



Think Like a Vertex

- Each vertex computes individually its value (in parallel).
- Computation typically depends on the neighbors.
- Also know as graph-parallel processing model.





Data-Parallel vs. Graph-Parallel Computation





Pregel



- ► Large-scale graph-parallel processing platform developed at Google.
- ► Inspired by bulk synchronous parallel (BSP) model.





Execution Model (1/2)

- ► Applications run in sequence of iterations, called supersteps.
- A vertex in superstep S can:
 - reads messages sent to it in superstep S-1.
 - sends messages to other vertices: receiving at superstep S+1.
 - modifies its state.
- ► Vertices communicate directly with one another by sending messages.



Execution Model (2/2)

- Superstep 0: all vertices are in the active state.
- A vertex deactivates itself by voting to halt: no further work to do.
- ► A halted vertex can be active if it receives a message.
- ► The whole algorithm terminates when:
 - All vertices are simultaneously inactive.
 - There are no messages in transit.





Example: Max Value (1/4)

```
i_val := val
for each message m
    if m > val then val := m
if i_val == val then
    vote_to_halt
else
    for each neighbor v
        send_message(v, val)
```



Super step 0



Example: Max Value (2/4)







Example: Max Value (3/4)







Example: Max Value (4/4)

i_val := val
<pre>for each message m if m > val then val := m</pre>
<pre>if i_val == val then vote_to_halt else for each neighbor v send_message(v, val)</pre>





Example: PageRank



$$\mathtt{R}[\mathtt{i}] = \sum_{\mathtt{j} \in \mathtt{Nbrs}(\mathtt{i})} \mathtt{w}_{\mathtt{j}\mathtt{i}} \mathtt{R}[\mathtt{j}]$$



Example: PageRank

```
Pregel_PageRank(i, messages):
    // receive all the messages
    total = 0
    foreach(msg in messages):
        total = total + msg
    // update the rank of this vertex
    R[i] = total
    // send new messages to neighbors
    foreach(j in out_neighbors[i]):
        sendmsg(R[i] * wij) to vertex j
```

$$R[i] = \sum_{j \in Nbrs(i)} w_{ji}R[j]$$



GraphLab/Turi



- GraphLab allows asynchronous iterative computation.
- ► Vertex scope of vertex v: the data stored in v, and in all adjacent vertices and edges.
- ► A vertex can read and modify any of the data in its scope (shared memory).





Example: PageRank (GraphLab)

```
GraphLab_PageRank(i)
    // compute sum over neighbors
    total = 0
    foreach(j in in_neighbors(i)):
        total = total + R[j] * wji
    // update the PageRank
    R[i] = total
    // trigger neighbors to run again
    foreach(j in out_neighbors(i)):
        signal vertex-program on j
```

$$R[i] = \sum_{j \in Nbrs(i)} w_{ji}R[j]$$



Gather-Apply-Scatter (GAS)

- ► Factorizes the local vertices functions into the Gather, Apply and Scatter phases.
- Gather: accumulate information from neighborhood.
- ► Apply: apply the accumulated value to center vertex.
- Scatter: update adjacent edges and vertices.



Example: PageRank (GraphLab - GAS)

```
PowerGraph_PageRank(i):
Gather(j -> i):
return wji * R[j]
sum(a, b):
return a + b
// total: Gather and sum
Apply(i, total):
R[i] = total
Scatter(i -> j):
if R[i] changed then activate(j)
```

$$\mathtt{R}[\mathtt{i}] = \sum_{\mathtt{j} \in \mathtt{Nbrs}(\mathtt{i})} \mathtt{w}_{\mathtt{j}\mathtt{i}} \mathtt{R}[\mathtt{j}]$$



Think Like a Table



Data-Parallel vs. Graph-Parallel Computation





Motivation (2/3)

- Graph-parallel computation: restricting the types of computation to achieve performance.
- The same restrictions make it difficult and inefficient to express many stages in a typical graph-analytics pipeline.



berrat (

Motivation (3/3)







Think Like a Table

- Unifies data-parallel and graph-parallel systems.
- ► Tables and Graphs are composable views of the same physical data.





GraphX



• GraphX is the library to perform graph-parallel processing in Spark.





The Property Graph Data Model

- Spark represent graph structured data as a property graph.
- ▶ It is logically represented as a pair of vertex and edge property collections.
 - VertexRDD and EdgeRDD





The Vertex Collection

VertexRDD: contains the vertex properties keyed by the vertex ID.

```
class Graph[VD, ED] {
  val vertices: VertexRDD[VD]
  val edges: EdgeRDD[ED]
}
// VD: the type of the vertex attribute
abstract class VertexRDD[VD] extends RDD[(VertexId, VD)]
```







The Edge Collection

EdgeRDD: contains the edge properties keyed by the source and destination vertex IDs.









The Triplet Collection

- The triplets collection consists of each edge and its corresponding source and destination vertex properties.
- ► It logically joins the vertex and edge properties: RDD[EdgeTriplet[VD, ED]].
- The EdgeTriplet class extends the Edge class by adding the srcAttr and dstAttr members, which contain the source and destination properties respectively.





Building a Property Graph



val users: RDD[(VertexId, (String, String))] = sc.parallelize(Array((3L, ("rxin", "student")), (7L, ("jgonzal", "postdoc")), (5L, ("franklin", "prof")), (2L, ("istoica", "prof"))))

```
val relationships: RDD[Edge[String]] = sc.parallelize(Array(Edge(3L, 7L, "collab"),
Edge(5L, 3L, "advisor"), Edge(2L, 5L, "colleague"), Edge(5L, 7L, "pi"), Edge(5L, 1L, "-")))
```

```
val defaultUser = ("John Doe", "Missing")
```

val graph: Graph[(String, String), String] = Graph(users, relationships, defaultUser)



- Information about the graph
- Property operators
- Structural operators
- Joins
- Aggregation
- Iterative computation
- ► ...





Summary





- Think like a vertex
 - Pregel: BSP, synchronous parallel model, message passing
 - GraphLab: asynchronous model, shared memory, GAS
- Think like a table
 - Graphx: unifies data-parallel and graph-parallel systems.



- G. Malewicz et al., "Pregel: a system for large-scale graph processing", ACM SIG-MOD 2010
- ► Y. Low et al., "Distributed GraphLab: a framework for machine learning and data mining in the cloud", VLDB 2012
- ► J. Gonzalez et al., "Powergraph: distributed graph-parallel computation on natural graphs", OSDI 2012
- J. Gonzalez et al., "GraphX: Graph Processing in a Distributed Dataflow Framework", OSDI 2014



Questions?